I godst Hitecvido NO BICE FIRE SOCAMENTS FIRE CONTROL CONCERT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO 16 W 168 JCH-KK STATE VS M WIGGINS STATE VS M WEGGINS

NO: A-0001-CA-2015-3FILED

NOTION FOR Relief:

ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXIGOR U.S.C.A. § 2754 MAR 0 3 2016 , was MATTHEW J. DYKMAN #1. Comes Mr. Wigghs filed a notion to the suffene court to oBtain tRanscripts of motions filed on feb 25 #2. The Reguest was mude under Sist runk vunited States, 992 F. 2d 858, 259 (10th Cir. 1993); The reason for That Regult was to in fact all diginals were sent to the court of Affects and no copyes were made Sec; EXHIBIT(1). #3. In case No; 34,441 Defendant Believes it is Plaven he is in Castudy under a illegal conviction That Took Place at trial. #4. EXHIBIT(2) SHOWS the trail courts dismissed of Defendants Habeas confus and EXHIBIT (3) SHOWS The court of affects filed a order of transfer EXHIBIT(4) Stews The suffere court accepting that Hansfer EXHIBIT(5) SHOWS The sullent courts denied Defendants motions.

Case 1:16-cv-00168-JCH-KK Document 1 Filed 03/08/16 Page 3 of 13
TIME: 10:56:45 AM

COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

DATE: Sep 10, 2015

PAGE: 1

A-0001-CA-2015-34441 STATE VS M WIGGINS

FILING DATE: EVENT CATEGORY:

02-12-2015

CASE TYPE:

CMS

STATUS:

CLCLOSED

OTHER CIVIL CASES

DATE	PARTY EVENT	RECEIPT #	AMOUNT
02-12-2015	- NTC: NOTICE OF APPEAL(NON-OPN) PROOF OF SERVICE 06/17/12. GK		
02-12-2015	- OPN: DOCKETING STATEMENT GK		
02-12-2015	- MIS: DOCUMENT CASE INFO SHEET. GK		
02-25-2015	- CLS: TRANSFER ORDER TO NMSC IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT THIS CAS TO NMSC. SMG	E IS HEREBY T	RANSFERRED

When a case is transferred to Supreme Court. The whole file goes to Supreme Court. Court of Appeals does not keep any paperwork or a file.

Case 1:16-cv-001/68/JCFF kly Document 1 Filed 03/08/16 Page 4 of 13

DISTRICT COURT SAN JUAN COUNTY NM FILED

2012 JUN 13 PM 4: 00

ELEVENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT STATE OF NEW MEXICO COUNTY OF SAN JUAN

MATTHEW LOUIS WIGGINS,
Petitioner/Defendant,

v.

No. D-1116-CR-200800095

JAMES LOPEZ,

Warden of the Lea County Correctional Facility, Respondent.

#### SUMMARY DISMISSAL OF PETITION FOR WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

THIS MATTER came before the Court on a Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus filed herein by the Petitioner/Defendant.

THE COURT, after examining the petition together with all attachments, CONCLUDES that it plainly appears from the face of the petition, the annexed exhibits, and the prior proceedings in the case that the Petitioner is not entitled to relief as a matter of law for the following reasons:

- 1. Counsel provided to Petitioner the skill of a reasonably competent attorney. In addition, Petitioner's allegations of ineffective assistance of counsel fail to show he was prejudiced. Although Mr. Wiggins maintains his innocence, the evidence against him is substantial.
- 2. In addition, Mr. Wiggins asserts that trial counsel had a conflict of interest in representing him based on comments counsel made regarding a different judge -

not the trial court judge. The Court fails to find that there is a potential or actual conflict of interest in this matter based on those comments.

- 3. The decision for Defendant not to testify was made by the Defendant and counsel at trial. Defendant cannot later assert that right.
- 4. The other issues raised by the Petitioner were resolved against him both at trial and by the Court of Appeals. There is nothing new or additional in Mr. Wiggins' Petition that would afford him any different decision.
- 5. No fundamental error is found.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is hereby summarily dismissed.

AREN L. TOWNSEND

District Judge

ccs:

Petitioner Respondent

D.A.

1

2

3

v.

## IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

COURT OF APPEALS OF NEW MEXICO

Plaintiff-Respondent,

FEB 25 2015

No. 34,441

San Juan County D-1116-CR-2008-95

MATTHEW WIGGINS,

Defendant-Petitioner.

## ORDER OF TRANSFER TO SUPREME COURT

This matter is before this Court on documents filed in this Court by Defendant-Petitioner, Matthew Wiggins, and is based on the following:

- On June 6, 2012, Petitioner's petition for writ of habeas corpus was (1)denied by the district court.
- On November 5, 2012, the New Mexico Supreme Court issued an order **(2)** denying Defendant-Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Certiorari pursuant to Rule 12-501 NMRA.
- On November 26, 2014, this Court received the following documents (3) from Defendant-Petitioner: 1) a handwritten page titled "Proof of Service," 2) an untitled, handwritten packet of pages asserting custody in violation of the U.S. Constitution, 3) two copies of a packet of pages

2

3

4

5

consisting of a district court pleading and handwritten pages presenting issues for review.

- On February 12, 2015, this Court received the following documents **(4)** from Defendant-Petitioner: 1) a notice of appeal, 2) a case information sheet, 3) an informal docketing statement stating that the appeal is from the summary dismissal of a petition for writ of habeas corpus, and 4) an informal memorandum in opposition to summary disposition. A case file was opened.
- Under Rules 5-802 and 12-501 NMRA, the New Mexico Supreme Court (5) has jurisdiction over this matter.

After consideration, IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT this case is HEREBY TRANSFERRED to the New Mexico Supreme Court.

EXHIBIT(4)

# IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO April 20, 2015

NO. 35,142

## MATTHEW LOUIS WIGGINS,

Petitioner,

v.

CERTIFY AND ATTEST;

## STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

Respondent.

### ORDER

WHEREAS, this matter came on for consideration upon a transfer order from the New Mexico Court of Appeals treating this matter as proceeding under Rule 12-501 NMRA seeking a writ of certiorari to review the denial of a petition for writ of habeas corpus, and the Court having considered the foregoing and being sufficiently advised, Chief Justice Barbara J. Vigil, Justice Petra Jimenez Maes, Justice Richard C. Bosson, Justice Edward L. Chávez, and Justice Charles W. Daniels concurring;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the transfer is ACCEPTED as a Rule 12-501 NMRA proceeding; and

EVHIBIT (4)

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the informal docketing statement filed in the Court of Appeals on February 12, 2015, will be treated as a petition for a writ of certiorari under Rule 12-501 and the other documents filed in the Court of Appeals will be treated as attachments to the petition; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this matter shall be submitted to the Court for review and a ruling.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

WITNESS, Honorable Barbara J. Vigil, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico, and the seal of said Court this 20th day of April, 2015.

(SEAL)

Joey D. Moya, Chief Clerk of Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico Case 1:16-cv-00168-JCH-KK | Document-1 | Filed 03/08/16 | Page 10 of 13

I CERTIFY AND ATTEST:
A true copy was served on all parties
or their counsel of record on date filed.

\*\*Markers of Resort
Clerk of the Supreme Court
of the State of New Mexico

## IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO August 10, 2015

NO. S-1-SC-35142

MATTHEW LOUIS WIGGINS.

Petitioner,

v.

2

3

5 6

7 8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

STATE OF NEW MEXICO,

Respondent.

#### **ORDER**

WHEREAS, this matter came on for consideration by the Court upon petition for writ of certiorari filed under Rule 12-501 NMRA, and the Court having considered the petition and being sufficiently advised, Chief Justice Barbara J. Vigil, Justice Petra Jimenez Maes, Justice Richard C. Bosson, Justice Edward L. Chávez, and Justice Charles W. Daniels, concurring;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the petition for writ of certiorari is DENIED.

#### IT IS SO ORDERED.



WITNESS, the Honorable Barbara J. Vigil, Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of the State of New Mexico, and the seal of said Court this 10th day of August, 2015.

Joey D. Moya, Clerk of Court Supreme Court of New Mexico

Clerk of the Supreme Court

FORM 9-704. ORDER OF APPOINTMENT FOR HABEAS..., NM R CR Form 9-704

West's New Mexico Statutes Annotated State Court Rules

9. Criminal Forms

Article 7. Special Proceedings

#### NMRA, Form 9-704

FORM 9-704. ORDER OF APPOINTMENT FOR HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS UNDER RULE 5-802 NMRA			
Currentness			
[For use with District Court Criminal Rule 5-802 NMRA]			
STATE OF NEW MEXICO			
COUNTY OF LEE			
JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT			
MATTHEW LINICOINS No A-cool-CA-2015-3444			
Petitioner,			
<b>v.</b>			
Alisha Locero			
Respondent.			
ORDER OF APPOINTMENT FOR HABEAS CORPUS PROCEEDINGS UNDER RULE 5-802 NMRA			
This matter having come before the court, and the court being fully advised of the circumstances;			
THE COURT FINDS THAT:			
[W] the petitioner is incarcerated; or			
[W] the petitioner is not incarcerated, and is indigent and unable to obtain counsel; and			
[W] This is a proceeding which a reasonable person would bring at that person's own expense. 1			
IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:			
[W] the Public Defender Department is hereby appointed to represent the Petitioner in the above-entitled cause without payment of the application fee.			

WastlawNext © 2015 Thomson Reuters. No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

#### FORM 9-704. ORDER OF APPOINTMENT FOR HABEAS..., NM R CR Form 9-704

[W] the Public Defender Department, shall appoint an attorney on contract with the department represent the petitioner based on the conflict memorandum reviewed by the court or as disclosed at a status conference with the court.

[W] petitioner's counsel shall file an amended petition or a notice of non-intent to file an amended petition within ninety (90) days of the date of the filing of this order.

(
District Judge
)

#### **USE NOTE**

If the Public Defender Department is appointed, the clerk of the district court shall mail a copy of this order and a copy of the pro se petition to the Post-Conviction/Habeas Division, Office of the Public Defender, 505 Marquette NW, Ste. 120, Albuquerque, NM 87102.

#### Credits

[Adopted effective Dec. 31, 2014.]

#### Footnotes

Under the Indigent Defense Act, a person has the limited right to appointed counsel representation in post-conviction matters "unless the court in which the proceeding is brought determines that it is not a proceeding that a reasonable person with adequate means would be willing to bring at his own expense" NMSA 1978, § 31-16-3(B)(3) (1968). Therefore, the Public Defender may not be able to represent a petition in all cases.

NMRA, Form 9-704, NM R CR Form 9-704

State court rules are current with amendments received through 3/1/2015. Local federal district and bankruptcy court rules are current with amendments received through December 1, 2013.

**End of Document** 

© 2015 Thomson Renters, No claim to original U.S. Government Works.

Filed 03/08/16 Page 13 of 130' 87-20

Ko. Drawer 250

03/03/2016

Hasier

WATTHEN W 1981/15 #678 00

UNITED STATES DISTRIC 333 Lanas Bld. N.W. DISTRIC OF NEW MU Albuguesque, New Mex office of the cler

RECEIVED At Albuquerque NM MAR 0 7 2016

MATTHEW J. DYKMAN